NOTICE



THE SOVEREIGN REPUBLIC OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

NOTICE OF TRESPASS AND PERSONAL LIABILITY

notice to principal is notice to agent notice to agent is notice to principal

We The Sovereign Man & Woman Command

ORDERS OF LAWFULNESS

"If you can be made to believe absurdities you can be made to commit atrocities" Voltaire

This is where you Dave Formosa Mayor/CEO of your Municipal Corporation are standing. We the Sovereign Man & Woman of the land mass we have come to know as Canada, we understand your dilemma, as we all believed the same lies as we were also indoctrinated by the de-facto government schools and universities.

We the Sovereigns having done our due diligence realize that you in your ignorance are committing atrocities and we are commanding you to cease these criminal actions, and further in the event, that this action is not adhered to, you will be subpoenaed by our Common Law Grand Jury to stand trial for your criminal actions and you won't be able to claim like the Nazi's "I was just doing my job"

Pending Criminal Charges

- WHEREAS; the World Health Organization has declared a Global Pandemic for the Covid-19 aka the Corona Virus which they now have been forced to admit that the Corona Virus has not been isolated in a laboratory. How can you test for a virus you haven't located or claim any deaths from Covid-19. Which means that all testing is fraudulent using false statistics and information to declare a global pandemic and create Medical Marshal Law in every country of the world causing economic devastation you Dave Formosa Mayor/CEO of your municipal corporation are guilty of aiding and abetting the implementation of this genocidal atrocity.
- WHEREAS; the De facto corporation Canada has agreed to and signed into the United Nations Agenda 21 and Agenda 30 a plan to depopulate 95 percent of the worlds population; the greatest threat to freedom of we the sovereigns and therefore you Dave Formosa Mayor/CEO of your municipal corporation are aiding and abetting this criminal action and guilty of participating in genocide atrocities and;
- WHEREAS; Dave Formosa Mayor/CEO of your municipal corporation, having sworn an Oath to the Queen or Crown you are guilty of treason of giving your allegiance to a foreign power and;
- WHEREAS; Dave Formosa Mayor/CEO of your municipal corporation, you and your corporation have allowed the Sovereigns to be exposed to known neurotoxins, such as mercury, flouride, glycophosphate both in the environment and in their bodies and in allowing this you are guilty of participating in an ongoing genocidal plan on the sovereigns of your municipality.

This is a Command from the Sovereign Republic of British Columbia Common law Grand Jury to Cease and Desist the following actions;

- a) Cease and Desist all vaccinations until independent research and testing proves vaccines are safe;
 - b) Cease and Desist following the mandate for wearing Masks as they are know to do harm and do not protect anyone from the Corona virus;
- c) Cease and Desist all unlawful lockdowns;
- d) Cease and Desist all Social Distancing.

REMEDY

- 1 Dave Formosa Mayor of Powell River registers with Mayors for De Jure government @ www.sovereignrepublicbc.org_and agrees to be available for weekly conferencing.
- 2 Dave Formosa Mayor and Council vote to seize the municipal corporation and all its assets for crimes against humanity and to dissolve the corporation and move the municipality into an unincorporated business organization which is accountable to we the sovereigns and;
- 3 Dave Formosa Mayor and Council swear an Affirmation to uphold the common law of the sovereigns which is based on "Do No Harm", understanding that a damaged party or property is required before any law is broken;
- 4 Dave Formosa Mayor and Council receive Amnesty for the criminal charges listed in exchange for performing Remedies 1, 2 & 3 and you have 10 days to respond to PO Box # 21025, Vernon, B.C. V1T9T7

UNMASKING COVID-19

" TODAY THEY WERE FORCED TO ADMIT THAT'COVID-19' DOES NOT EXIST"

because

The Virus itself has never been isolated

How can you have a test for a virus that hasn't been isolated?

How can you have a vaccine for a virus that hasn't been isolated?

How can they say that anyone died of COVID - 19 when the virus hasn't been isolated?

TODAY THEY WERE FORCED TO ADMIT THAT 'COVID.19' DOES NOT EXIST I nvestigative Journal list Gemma O'Doherty Statement from Gemma O'Doherty "AS PART OF OUR LEGAL ACTION we had been demanding the evidence that this virus exists [as well as] evidence that lockdowns actually have any impact on the spread of viruses; that face-masks are safe, and do deter the spread of viruses - They don't. No such studies exist; that social distancing is based in science - It isn't. It's made up; that contact tracing has any bearing on the spread of a virus - of course it doesn't. This organization here - is making it up as they go along." - Gemma O'Doherty Transcript from the video titled "TODAY THEY WERE FORCED TO ADMIT THAT'COVID-19' DOES NOT EXIST" Text on screen "If the virus has not been scientifically isolated... how could we possibly know that a vaccine is effective?" I am back outside the offices of Tony Holohan here on Baggot Street inside Dublin. And you'll be aware that yesterday we were here asking why it was that the HSE, NPHET - National Public Health Emergency Team headed up by Tony Holohan and the minister for Health Stephen Donnelly. Why it was that they had refused to provide an answer to a freedom of information request that we had put in several months ago asking if the department of health and the HSE could provide proof that the virus SARS Cov2, Covid-L9 actually exists. That it has been isolated in a lab. They had broken the legislation in relation to our freedom of information request. They had failed to provide a response within the deadline and yesterday after I did my stream from here, it obviously got a huge amount of traction all around the country and this morning low and behold, what arrived in our post only this letter here from the HSE. Which is proof of the answer that we knew that they would have to give and that is that the virus Covid19 does not exist. If we dictate that as science demands that we do that viruses in order to be deemed viruses must be scientifically isolated in a laboratory and must adhere to the Koch's postulates which are a very stringent list of requirements in order to validate that a vax a virus exists. Well this virus does not adhere to any of them. So we requested in a October and in fact earlier than October because as part of our legal action we had been demanding the evidence that this virus actually exists but also in our court case we had been demanding and have been and it has they have not produced it yet evidence that lockdowns actually have any impact on the spread or otherwise of viruses. We have been demanding that they provide evidence that face masks are safe and that they do deter the spread of viruses. They don't, no such studies exist. We've been asking for evidence that social distancing is based in science. It isn't! It's made up! We've been asking for evidence that contact tracing has any bearing on the spread of a virus or otherwise and of course it doesn't because this organization here NPHET is making it up as they go along but today they were forced to admit that the Covid-19 va virus does not exist and this is what they have said, we requested a full accurate and complete list of records held by the HSE or under the authority of the HSE which describe the isolation of the SARS Cov-2 virus, coronavirus, Covid-19 taken directly from' symptomatic patient with Covid-19 where the sample was not combined, and this is critical, or mixed with any other source of genetic material such as for example monkey kidney cells or cancer cells thereby eliminating contamination as a possible source alternative source of sampling.

Their response today is as follows from the HSE, "Following consultation with my colleagues both from the scientific and medical areas of the HPSE can confirm that we would hold no records in relation to your request. These are the reasonable steps I have taken to ascertain the whereabouts of existence of such records and unfortunately I must inform you that having undertaken these searches we were unable to locate the records in question. I am satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken to locate the records that you have requested and must refuse therefore your request." And um they go on to say that um the record concerned does not exist in fact they outlined it in yellow, this is their highlighting saying that the record which we requested that this virus actually exists does not, they hold

no evidence that it does. So there is your proof. Now on such as this information, on such as this critical new evidence we need to ask several new questions and they are as follows: If the virus has not been scientifically isolated how could we possibly know that a vaccine is effective? If the virus for this vaccine has not been isolated, if you know about vaccines you will know that they put a laboratory made virus into the vaccine in order to provoke an immune reaction in the body. This is of course against nature and nature always bites back. How can we possibly know if this vaccine is safe if the virus has not been isolated? How can anyone claim that a new variant which is the latest scam that they're pulling upon us, that a new variant or a mutant strain exists, if the original strain has never been isolated? How can a vaccine even be developed? How can a vaccine even be developed when the virus itself has never been isolated and how can the effectiveness of a vaccine be determined if the pathogen itself it is designed to treat has not been isolated? How can a reliable test be developed to detect the presence of a particular virus if the virus itself has never been isolated? What proof do we have that a virus known as Covid-L9 even exists if the virus has never been isolated and what guarantee do we have that other spurious viruses will not be announced and held as a serious health threat to our society when the original virus was never isolated? Will these new viruses be equally speculative? And finally what parent could allow their child to be vaccinated with a new vaccine which has never been tested on animals? A treatment designed to protect against a virus which has never been isolated is highly experimental and by definition is a very serious risk to health and we know that many many people who have got this virus vaccine. Many people who have got this vaccine have ended up dead in some cases and have ended up very very unwell, some of them have been paralyzed. So what is coming out of this organization at the moment is so demented and deranged. Now if people don't see through it, it really, one really has to question their own mental health. The latest from here is that if you have been in the United Kingdom in recent weeks, they are suggesting that you eat your Christmas dinner on your own, in a separate room. This is how demented these people are. They are coming out with the most extraordinary demands and this is getting incredibly dangerous because we are in the hands of psychopaths at this stage. So we all know that this is all about implementing permanent surveillance of the population. That is what it is about and it is about nothing more than that and this surveillance will result in the depopulation agenda which will eliminate a sizeable proportion of the population. Of course first to go will be the mask believers, the vaccine believers. They are the people that will be discarded and these people don't care about how many people are killed. They're about who is killed, they're just interested in numbers because they want to reduce world population by about well in the billions. So they're not interested in who, it's how many. So all of you who are still wearing masks, all of you who are going around saying you can't wait to get this vaccine, you are going to be the people who end up having your DNA radically altered and many of you will end up getting severe health effects as a result of going down this road but we can only warn you. We can only warn you. There is no doubt about it that Covid is the new religion, people are committed to it, they won't allow people like me to blaspheme it and to question it, or challenge it and to see does it stand up to scrutiny.

Are Vaccine Deaths Being Recorded As COVID-19 Mortalities?

Published on December 31, 2020

Written by John O'Sullivan



This story, if true, is a criminal conspiracy beyond most people's comprehension. While we give credibility to the Bernician for his successful track record, we note that in the article re-posted below, he has yet to disclose his source.

Concerned readers may wish to assist us in digging for the truth. For example, submitting FOIA requests to the relevant government departments may adduce more hard facts on this disturbing development.

The Bernician writes as follows:

In perhaps the most controversial post I've ever made on this blog, I can now confirm that official data shows that the first and second waves of alleged Covid deaths correspond with the roll out of this year's WHO-approved flu vaccines.

Evidence from the WHO's own records shows that the shots are known to kill 5 out of every 1,324 healthy adults, as well as causing 344 to have medically attended adverse events.

It therefore appears obvious that the manufacturers of the scamdemic played a classic bait and switch move, in order to create plausible deniability for this year's deaths from flu vaccines and to guarantee a whole lot more fatalities from the Covid jabs, which they intend to inject us all with in 2021.

The Genocidal Switcheroo

Damning evidence from the WHO in my possession implicitly states that the current batch of UK flu shots is expected to kill 377 of every 100,000 healthy adults, between 18 and 65.

However, that mortality rate would obviously increase substantially for the elderly and sick, who were the largest UK demographic to receive it by 26/03/2020 [8.5 m of the 14 m vaccinated by that date].

In such circumstances, out of the 14 million vaccinated with the flu shot during the first 12 weeks of 2020, at least 52,780 would have been expected to suffer fatal adverse events from the vaccines administered.

That being the case, the government needed the Coronavirus Act 2020 to suspend autopsies, which obviously could have established the predominant cause of death was the flu vaccines, rather than the government lurgy that has never even been proven to exist.

Grim Mortality Prediction

If this incredibly serious allegation of bait and switch has substance, I can now predict with relative and grim certainty, that in the event 30 million healthy adults receive one of the WHO-approved flu vaccines in the UK, 113,100 would be expected to die within 22 days of the injection.

Which the government would obviously claim is merely the latest surge of lurgy deaths, as the second flu shot season draws to an end, along with the worst year in living memory, during which no vaccine deaths have been recorded, to the very best of my knowledge.

Whilst only time will tell whether that grim prediction comes true [and I sincerely hope that it doesn't], the best way to unequivocally prove that COVID deaths are in fact vaccine mortalities is to perform autopsies on the bodies of the dead.

Fatal Coincidence

Nevertheless, we already know that the end of the first flu shot season this year was 26/03 – the day they passed the Coronavirus Act 2020. A fatal coincidence, if ever there was one.

The mortality spike that followed the initial lockdown from 23/03/2020, was, it logically follows, due to the surge of adverse events from 14 million vaccinations, over the first 12 weeks of the year.

Quite simply, the more people who took the vaccine each week, the more people died and were falsely recorded as Covid deaths, which actually began in January and not March, according to official data.

In other words, they started falsifying the cause of death as being Covid in the same month this year's first round of flu shots began.

Which is why the suspension of autopsies prescribed by the 2020 Act was integral to the perpetuation of the scamdemic and the creation of the genocidal government policy which continues unabated.

Mass Sterilisation Agenda

We don't know for sure if the mortality rate will be the same, similar, more or less, but all the evidence we have strongly suggests that the primary purpose of the Covid vaccines is to switch off our reproductive systems, whilst permanently altering our DNA.

Provided, of course, that we manage to survive being poisoned with all manner of Big Pharma toxins and having nanobots let loose on our central nervous system.

However, we will know the answer soon enough, as the government are effectively continuing the testing stage right now, using the public as guinea pigs, in a 'live' experiment of genuinely Malthusian proportions.

They already appear to be covering up the mortality rate and other adverse effects from the vaccine, while ramping up the scam of the second strain of the government lurgy, which has never been and never will be either isolated or purified.

Judgment Day Draws Ever Closer

It goes without saying that as soon as we have enough prima facie evidence to nail these charges and more, in the Private Criminal Prosecution of those who stand accused of fraud, treason and mass murder, we will proceed without hesitation.

Nevertheless, it is also worthy of note that the bait and switch described above is exactly the same kind of fraud the banksters love to play, which confirms who our real adversaries are in this mortal war of attrition, for those who still require confirmation of that fact.

Since the Rothschild cartel sits behind the curtain and pull the strings of their academic, scientific, media and political puppets, who will all no doubt sing like canaries when they appear before their juries, to defend their indefensible crimes against the people.

My instinct tells me that the exposure of this genocidal bait and switch has the potential to blow the lid off the whole scam a mile high, once we have all the prima facie evidence required to sustain the most serious allegations ever made in a Common Law court.

Read more at www.thebernician.net

WHO

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION RECORDS SHOW

WHO Flu Shots

KILL

5 out of every 1324 shots
And cause 344 adverse reactions
Current batch of UK Flu shots expected to

KILL

113,100 out of 30 million shots

All to be blamed on new mutated Corona virus

14,000,000 Flu vaccinations first 12 weeks 2020

Deaths blamed on Corona Virus to support

The WHO Plandemic/Pandemic

Toronto Children's Hospital

Recommends

Back to School without Masks or

Toronto's <u>Hospital for Sick Children</u> (aka SickKids) has released a <u>detailed report</u> on "the harms of school closure on [children's] physical and mental health." Harms included: "Increased rates of depression, trauma, drug abuse and addiction and <u>even suicide</u> can be anticipated."

Social Distancing

The SickKids report reassures parents that there is "strong evidence that the majority of children who become infected with SARS-CoV-2 are either asymptomatic or have only mild symptoms.... There have been no paediatric deaths reported in Canada to date."

Even more heartening is that SickKids Hospital recommends:

"Non-medical and medical face masks are not required or recommended for children returning to school."

They point out that

"if worn incorrectly, **it could lead to increased risk of infection** and it is not practical for a child to wear a mask properly for the duration of a school day."

They also state the oft-ignored fact that:

"There is a lack of evidence that wearing a face mask prevents SARS-CoV-2 transmission in children."

More like no (direct) evidence. Same goes for adults. Which may be why the report advises teachers to teach without a mask as "<u>facial expression is an important part of communication</u> which children should not be deprived of."

But what about physical distancing? Should kids all be <u>cubicled like in China</u> and have recess in a six-foot bubble? Here's what The Hospital for Sick Children says:

"...strict physical distancing should not be emphasized to children in the school setting as it is not practical and could cause significant psychological harm. Close interaction, such as playing and socializing, is central to child development and should not be discouraged."

Instead, to help prevent the spread of COVID they give some reasonable suggestions that have many other health benefits:

"If weather permits, consideration could be given to having classes outside.... Attention should be paid to improving classroom ventilation (e.g. optimizing ventilation system maintenance and increasing the proportion of outside air brought in through these systems)."

Even high-risk children are not advised to be quarantined:

"...there is no convincing evidence to suggest the level of medical risk to [high-risk] children from <u>SARS-CoV-2</u> is different from that posed by other respiratory viruses, such as influenza. As a result, given the unintended consequences associated with not attending school, **attending school is recommended for the majority of these children."**

You can read SickKids Hospital's refreshingly 19: Recommendations for School Reopening.

ORIFISH COLUMBIA

sensible advice in **COVID-**

Maybe we can avoid turning the schools into as Italian parliamentarian Sara Cunial has been

corona concentration camps warning against.

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

John C. A. Manley has spent over a decade ghostwriting for medical doctors, as well as naturopaths, chiropractors and Ayurvedic physicians. He publishes the <u>COVID-19(84) Red Pill Daily Briefs</u> — an email-based newsletter dedicated to preventing the governments of the world from using an exaggerated pandemic as an excuse to violate our freedom, health, privacy, livelihood and humanity. He is also writing a novella, <u>COVID-27: A Dystopian Love Story</u>. Visit his website at: <u>MuchAdoAboutCorona.ca</u>

Featured image is from Wikimedia Commons

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © John C. A. Manley, Global Research, 2020



NOTICE:

NUREMBERG CODE

Article 6: Section 3

Mandating Masks on citizens of a nation and preventing their access to Food, health care, transport or education if they don't comply is a

WAR CRIME

Masks or any other medical intervention must remain voluntary.

EXHIBIT A

WHO, CDC & GATES FOUNDATION DEFUNDED BECAUSE OF VACCINE FRAUD

APRIL 24, 2020 ~ C-VINE VETTED FOR ACCURACY

MARC ANTHONY BOYER

BREAKING NEWS – GOVERNMENT FUNDING PULLED FROM GATES FOUNDATION, WHO AND CDC (CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL).

(U.S. Government lost LANDMARK VACCINE LAWSUIT in 2018.)

Vaccine injury lawyer Robert F. Kennedy Jr., Del Bigtree, producer of the suppressed vaccine documentary, 'VAXXED' and the Informed Consent Action Network (ICAN) are credited with this victory.

https://olis.leg.state.or.us/

They demanded the relevant government documents proving that all federally approved vaccines had been tested for quality over the past 32 years — and there were NONE! Zero, zilch, nada!

~ ~ ~

Here are the huge legal and practical implications in this victory for the American people:

This means that the US Department of Health and Human Services and all vaccine makers have been *deceiving* the American people for over 30 years about the effectiveness and safety of vaccines. This may ultimately mean that the continuing existence — at least in their current form — *of five US "healthcare" agencies is now in doubt: the CDC, the FDA, the IOM, the NIH and the "Health" part of DHHS itself!*

This may also threaten the existence of state medical boards and exclusive medical guilds like the *AMA*. This means that vaccine makers have been fraudulently exempt from what all other pharmaceutical drug makers have been forced to do concerning *biannual recertification* for quality and effectiveness — *meaning that their vaccines have never been tested for quality and have had no proven safety or effectiveness for over 30 years*.

This case can now be legally cited by all parents fraudulently mandated by any government/ organizational regulation/ requirements that they must vaccinate their children for school or any other activity to stop the forced vaccination of their children.

This case can now be legally cited by all employees being mandated by their employers to be vaccinated in order to retain their jobs.

This case can now be legally cited by all those who seek compensation for vaccine injury.

The future of allopathic medicine in its current form is now in doubt, and *the global pharmaceutical cartel,* since almost all of the drugs allopathic practitioners prescribe come from *pharmaceutical corporations that have also committed vaccine fraud and injury. (edited*)

LIABILITY: All government officials who have passed laws legalizing vaccine fraud at the state, national, or international level, or otherwise aided and abetted this vaccine fraud can now be charged with vaccine fraud, criminal malfeasance and in some cases, war crimes under *the Nuremberg Code*.

Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Reporting: Bonnie Nirgude' with Linda Forsythe



Bonnie Nirgude'

As a citizen journalist and investigative reporter, I am committed to accurately reporting the news. Wide awake, never asleep, I grew up in a 1960's patriotic household, aware of the truth behind the false narrative

GLOBAL VACCINE COMMITTEE CAUGHT IN LIES ABOUT HPV VACCINE SAFETY

(The Best Years in Life) Can it be possible that big names in conventional health are involved in a massive attempt to cover-up of unfavorable safety data about HPV vaccines? Earlier this year Dr. Sin Hang Lee, sent an open letter to Dr. Margaret Chan, director general of the World Health Organization (WHO), which alleges that members of the Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety (GACVS), the WHO, and the CDC along with other scientific and health professionals deliberately misled authorities about the safety of human papillomavirus vaccines known as Gardasil and Cervarix.

This all started more than three years ago. Dr. Lee, a Cornell-educated pathologist at the Milford Hospital pathology laboratory, well known for its use of cutting edge DNA sequencing for molecular diagnoses, was asked to conduct tests on Gardasil, the flagship vaccine for HPV, to assess contamination. The tests found the vaccines contained recombinant DNA which he described as being firmly attached to an aluminum adjuvant.

Aluminum itself has been found to be toxic to the human body and linked to autism, cognitive impairment, and behavior disorders in young children and teenagers. Researchers have found that despite eighty years of use, there has been little testing to substantiate its safety. Dr. Lee hypothesizes that aluminum adjuvants in the HPV vaccine are carried through the blood stream by macrophages to the brain, causing the adverse effects many experience after receiving HPV shots. When HPV recombinant DNA is combined with aluminum, Gardasil apparently achieves the status of a biological weapon rather than something purported to protect health.

This finding is highly significant because the DNA found was not the natural DNA that could be found from the HPV virus itself, but was instead a genetically engineered form of the HPV genetic code added to the vaccine during manufacturing. Dr. Lee said at the time

"Natural HPV DNA does not remain in the bloodstream for very long. However, the HPV DNA in Gardasil is not natural DNA. It is recombinant HPV DNA (rDNA) – genetically engineered – to be inserted into yeast cells for VLP (virus-like particle) protein production. rDNA is known to behave differently from natural DNA. It may enter a human cell, especially in an inflammatory lesion caused by the effects of the aluminum adjuvant, via poorly understood mechanisms. Once a segment of recombinant DNA is inserted into a human cell, the consequences are hard to predict. It may be in the cell temporarily or stay there forever, with or without causing a mutation. Now the host cell contains human DNA as well as genetically engineered viral DNA."

These findings were particularly disconcerting because health authorities around the glove had been told by Merck, the manufacturer of Gardasil, that all viral DNA had been removed from the vaccine during the purification process.

Big money at stake in Japan makes GACVS throw caution to the wind

Emails obtained through the Freedom of Information Act show that from the point of his initial and subsequent research, members of GACVS viewed Dr. Lee as an inconvenient adversary to their pro-vaccine agenda. On top of that, a public hearing in Japan to determine whether or not the Japanese government would recommend the vaccine to its citizens was underway, which could mean big money for HPV vaccine makers. Since there were no peer-reviewed studies to refute Dr. Lee's claims, GACVS had to get creative in assuring the Japanese government that the vaccine was completely safe.

To accomplish that, GACVS made a presentation at the hearing about the safety of the HPV vaccine and issued an official statement in addition. But they under estimated their opponent. As he argued in his open letter, Dr. Lee then pointed out numerous and likely intentional misrepresentations made by GACVS in the information they presented, which served the purpose of obfuscating the data and misleading the public.

In addition, Dr. Lee alleges that GACVS knew that the HPV vaccine causes an increased inflammatory response and the release of cytokines as well as tumor necrosis factors (TNT). This can cause cell death and a wide range of inflammatory responses that could be fatal. Nevertheless, GACVS still attempted to assure the public that HPV vaccine was safe.

These are only the latest in a long list of concerns about HPV vaccine safety and the safety of vaccines in general. There have been other cover-ups of other vaccine research, one of the latest being the attempt to hide the research that shows skyrocketing rates of autism in African-American male babies receiving the MMR vaccine.

Be sure to see Dr. Lee's complete open letter at the first source below.

For more information:

http://sanevax.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Allegations-of-Scientific-Misconduct-by-GACVS.pdf

WHO: Accusations of Misconduct Over HPV Vaccine Safety Inquiry

Posted on January 15, 2016 by Thinking Moms' Revolution

January 15, 2016

With each new Gardasil scandal (I should say "new revelation") released to the world, people ask me, "Why isn't this off the market yet?" or "How many injured girls will it take for the government to act?" My answer is always twofold: \$1.7 billion and complete legal immunity.

Today, <u>Dr. Sin Hang Lee, MD</u> sent an <u>open letter of complaint to the Director-General of the World Health Organization (WHO)</u>, Dr. Margaret Chan, relating to apparent misconduct on the part of Dr. Robert Pless, the chairperson of the Global Advisory Committee on Vaccine Safety (GAVCS)*, Dr. Koji Nabae of the Ministry of Health of Japan, Dr. Melinda Wharton of the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), and <u>Dr. Helen Petousis-Harris of Auckland University</u>, New Zealand.

The letter calls the integrity of these individuals into question and alleges that they deliberately conspired to misrepresent scientific data presented as evidence at a public hearing of HPV vaccine safety in Japan on February 26th 2014.

In the wake of that public hearing, the Japanese government made the prudent and cautious decision to suspend its recommendation of HPV vaccines pending further investigation. The government based its decision on the <u>overwhelming scientific evidence</u> on the risks of vaccination with Gardasil and Cervarix presented by Dr. Lee, <u>Dr. Jerome Authier</u>, <u>Lucija Tomljenovic</u>, <u>PhD</u> and <u>Dr. Mirna Hajjar</u>. Japan was the first country to suspend its recommendation, which inevitably ruffled feathers at Merck and GlaxoSmithKline.

So what happened that caused Dr. Lee to write an <u>open letter of complaint of misconduct to the Director-General of the WHO</u> almost two years after that momentous hearing? A Freedom of Information request filed in New Zealand disclosing a series of emails involving the above-named individuals indicates that they may have intentionally colluded to deceive the Japanese public (and the rest of the world) on the subject of HPV vaccine safety. For a <u>detailed timeline</u> of events, I'll refer you to Sanevax as they were involved in supporting this endeavor and have kept excellent records.

One of the most controversial discoveries relating to Gardsail since it was licensed, occurred in 2011 when Dr. Sin Hang Lee found that 100% of 13 Gardasil samples he had contained HPV L1 gene DNA fragments, something which was denied by the FDA and Merck before that time. He also found that the HPV DNA fragments were not only bound to Merck's proprietary aluminum adjuvant, but they had also adopted a non-B

<u>conformation</u>, thereby creating a new chemical <u>compound of unknown toxicity</u>. <u>Non-B conformation DNA structures are responsible</u> for neurological diseases and genomic disorders. Sound familiar? Dr. Lee believes that this new non-B conformation is responsible for the array of autoimmune illnesses children and young women are experiencing after Gardasil vaccination and urged further study.

Dr. Lee's findings have been replicated by the work of <u>Dr. Laurent Bélec</u> who states;

Preliminary data showed the presence of contaminating HPV L1 DNA in all tested different batches of Gardasil® vaccine from France. Our observations confirm independently and extend the previous observations by Lee SH.

Dr. Lee also discovered HPV-16 L1 <u>DNA fragments in post-mortem blood samples</u> of a teenager who died six months after completion of three Gardasil injections. His case study included a call for more investigation into this new scientific discovery. One would think the scientific community should have been screaming for funding from the rafters and demanding an international inquiry. But that's not quite what happened.

In response to Dr. Lee's findings, on October 21, 2011, <u>the FDA admitted</u> that yes, HPV L1 gene DNA fragments *are* contained in the vaccine, but they are an *expected* result of the manufacturing process and pose no health risk, a statement for which the FDA is not able to provide any supporting scientific evidence as Dr. Pless was to discover. There is in fact no evidence that suggests foreign DNA fragments can be safely injected into humans.

Moving ahead to Tokyo 2014, Dr. Lee was about to present scientific evidence from his widely circulated paper that not only does Gardasil contain the DNA fragments, but also that they are anything but safe. (Dr. Lee's study shows how the DNA fragments attach to the aluminum adjuvant and get carried by the macrophage through the bloodstream and to the brain, possibly causing autoimmune disorders or <u>death</u>. Dr. Lee explains it himself <u>here</u>.) Since there was no peer-reviewed science to refute Dr. Lee's claims, this presented a PR problem for Dr. Pless and GAVCS. Isn't it interesting that their first reaction was to refute his claims? Wouldn't you think the very agency charged with world vaccine *safety* would be more interested in *verifying* Dr. Lee's claims by recommending and funding further studies since those discoveries pointed to a highly probable risk signal in the vaccines? Dr. Pless, however, was only concerned with countering Dr. Lee's claims ahead of the Tokyo public debate.

Shortly after the hearing, on March 12, 2014, GAVCS <u>issued a formal statement</u>, (which, we now know from the disclosed mails, was prepared *in advance of the public debate*) in response to the evidence presented in Tokyo. Dr. Lee takes exception to this statement, which he says is nonfactual and a misrepresentation of the referenced science. He believes this misrepresentation was deliberate and intended to mislead the public as evidenced by these never-before-seen emails from Dr. Pless to the Japanese Ministry of Health and their elected presenter at the hearing, Dr. Helen Petousis-Harris

of New Zealand. Their intent appears to be to convince the public that the scientific evidence to be presented at the hearing could be dismissed.

Dr. Lee's main grievances relate to the dismissal of two unrelated papers, which Pless conflates. Dr. Lee believes that Dr. Pless understood that two the papers related completely different issues (HPV L1 gene DNA fragments per Dr. Lee's paper and HPV-16 L1 virus-like particles (VLPs) per the Tomlijenovic/Shaw paper) and deliberately misled the world by implying that a technical report that refuted one of them also refuted the other. The CDC technical report Dr. Pless referenced was based on a phone conversation with unnamed participants and refers only to HPV-16 L1 VLPs and does not cover the consequences of injecting HPV L1 DNA fragments into humans. Compounding this, is the fact that GAVCS admits it didn't review the material, but instead states that the papers were reviewed by a panel of (unnamed) experts, without further explanation. Furthermore, Dr. Lee's paper on HPV L1 DNA fragments was published after this unpublished CDC report was written and, therefore, the report cannot be used to dismiss his findings about DNA fragments. There is no study in the world right now that will support the safety of injecting HPV L1 gene DNA fragments into the human (or animal) body.

In fact, Dr. Lee states that:

Natural HPV DNA does not remain in the bloodstream for very long. However, the HPV DNA in Gardasil is not 'natural' DNA. It is a recombinant HPV DNA (rDNA) — genetically engineered — to be inserted into yeast cells for VLP (virus-like-particle) protein production. rDNA is known to behave differently from natural DNA. It may enter a human cell, especially in an inflammatory lesion caused by the effects of the aluminum adjuvant, via poorly understood mechanisms. Once a segment of recombinant DNA is inserted into a human cell, the consequences are hard to predict. It may be in the cell temporarily or stay there forever, with or without causing a mutation. Now the host cell contains human DNA as well as genetically engineered viral DNA.

In an attempt to find a study to counter Dr. Lee's claims ahead of the debate, Dr. Pless reached out to Dr. Helen Petousis-Harris in New Zealand as she had <u>refuted Dr. Lee's assertions point by point</u> in an online blog. He admitted in an email to her that GAVCS had not yet addressed the "DNA question," and she was the *only one* he could find that had attempted to do so. Interesting, isn't it? Dr. Helen Petousis-Harris has not published papers that would deem her qualified to make such assertions about Dr. Lee's findings. However, it appeared that Dr. Pless was desperately casting out a net and she got caught.

Dr. Petousis-Harris replied immediately that she placed the rebuttal in the public domain "at the 11th hour" as "the only means of providing the information to the Crown Prosecution representatives." She is referring to the case of the <u>death of Jasmine Renata</u>, allegedly due to Gardasil. Dr. Lee's testimony at the inquest into Jasmine's death is as follows:

The finding of these foreign DNA fragments in the post-mortem samples six months after vaccination indicates that some of the residual DNA fragments from the viral gene or plasmid injected with Gardasil® have been protected from degradation in the form of DNA-aluminum complexes in the macrophages; or via integration into the human genome.

Undegraded viral and plasmid DNA fragments are known to activate macrophages, causing them to release tumor necrosis factor, a myocardial depressant which can induce lethal shock in animals and humans.

In the same email, Dr. Petousis-Harris refers Dr. Pless to another science blogger (her "public domain" source?), this one in the U.S., whom she assumes "has given the material some thought" and whom Dr. Lee refers to as "an online character assassin masquerading as a science defender." Petousis-Harris freely admits that she's not an expert while also revealing her lack of understanding of the issues. She assumes Pless is referring to the issue of VLPs "tightly bound to the adjuvant and that the Shaw and Tomljenovic 'hypothesis' that it somehow finds its way to the brain carried by macrophage" In fact, this is not possible. She clearly mixed up papers and "hypotheses" and made no sense. Despite this, she was chosen to present at the Tokyo hearing via Skype as an "expert."

Petousis-Harris's <u>presentation</u> is filled with inaccuracies and character assassinations according to Dr. Lee. Most notably in Dr. Lee's opinion, it seems that she doesn't understand basic medical science as evidenced by this statement: "There are no controls used (unvaccinated). This is a <u>vital</u> part of the scientific process." (original emphasis) Controls are indeed a vital part of the scientific process, but not in a case report such as Dr. Lee's. Case reports are used to report intriguing initial findings that the author believes warrant further research such as clinical trials.

In preparation for the hearing, Dr. Nabae of the Japanese Ministry of Health suggested changes to Petousis-Harris's slides based on his knowledge of credible science presented in previous meetings:

Dr. Nabae was concerned about Dr. Helen Petousis-Harris' Powerpoint slide which stated "immune activation on uptake of HPV vaccine does not include an increase in inflammatory factors (incl TNF) even in vaccinees with large injection site reactions at time of local inflammation" because such claim contradicted the data presented by another expert at their previous meeting which in fact confirmed that cytokines following vaccines increased particularly at injection site after Cervarix® compared to other vaccines (including tumor necrosis factor-TNF)

However, Dr. Petousis-Harris dismissed this concern by citing her, as yet unpublished, peer-reviewed PhD thesis: "we specifically examined the reactogenicity of the vaccine and associations with 27 cytokines incl TNF and IL1 . . . there was no elevation of any cytokine associated with reactogenicity."

This is one of the more blatant misleading points of her presentation in Dr. Lee's opinion. Petousis-Harris acknowledges in her thesis that

Only a single blood sample was taken. The absence of a baseline measure precludes any within-individual changes. It cannot be determined if there were any changes in cytokine levels as a result of the administration of the vaccine or if these were base-line levels.

And "as many cytokines have localised activity it is possible that increased activity is not captured systemically." Therefore she has no real basis for the assertion that "there was no elevation of any cytokine associated with reactogenecity." — a finding which Dr. Nabae took at face value, despite the fact that it directly contradicted evidence presented by a Japanese scientist at a previous meeting that HPV vaccination *does* increase cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor, at the injection site. As Dr. Lee explains, "HPV vaccines contain HPV L1 gene DNA fragments, the viral DNA fragments, bound to aluminum adjuvants in the vaccines."

It's clear from the emails that the GACVS prepared its "reaction" statement before the hearing, and shared it with the Japanese Ministry of Health prior to the hearing as well. Yet a few days before the hearing, Dr. Nabae told GAVCS that they should not be present at the hearing and should wait a few weeks to release their statement. Dr. Lee believes that this was deliberately done to avoid cross-examination or scrutiny of their statement in public.

Given these assertions, and many more, by Dr. Lee, a logically minded person might assume that the WHO would launch an immediate inquiry into Dr. Lee's findings and issue at least a temporary moratorium on Gardasil and Cervarix, right? I'm not going to hold my breath because, as Dr. Pless mentioned, "the GACVS has not yet had a chance to delve into the DNA question" – three years after Dr. Lee's findings were published. Apparently, it's okay to risk harming our children because the GACVS "hasn't had a chance" to do its job.

As you may know, Japan is the figurative "patient zero" in the never-before-seen epidemic of "psychosomatic" illnesses in young girls and women popping up all over the world, coincidentally where HPV vaccines are administered. An epidemic fueled by Internet rumors and the "vaccine hesitant movement" if you believe a report by the Center for Strategic & International Studies (CSIS), commissioned by Merck and coauthored by Dr. Heidi Larson of the Vaccine Confidence Project in the U.K. The report provides fascinating insight into the minds of Merck and some vaccine proponents, and their absolute determination to drive home their agenda at any cost. They viewed the Japan situation as very troubling and painted a picture of an all-out war over media coverage, fearing they "had lost" to "anti-vaccine groups":

Over the last year, controversy within the Japanese medical and political arenas over the HPV vaccine has touched the public at large.

Through social media and highly publicized events, anti-vaccine groups have gained control of the narrative surrounding the HPV vaccine.

This shows contemptible callous disregard in my opinion. Girls' lives are at stake and they want to fight over media coverage? Where is the concern for these girls and their health? Incidentally, this report makes no mention of the 2014 public debate in Tokyo and the expert scientific presentations by Drs. Lee, Authier, Tomljenovic and Hajjar.

What is mentioned, however, was that just prior to the public hearing on HPV vaccines in Japan, a Japanese official, Dr. Yutaka Ohno, described the girls as having psychosomatic reactions:

It is impossible to find physical causes for the alleged and presumed adverse reactions at those vaccinated girls, so we cannot help, concluding that their so-called adverse reactions are the mere consequences of psychosomatic reactions. The government should provide counselling to the girls so that they may be freed from their psychosomatic reactions.

However as Dr. Lee pointed out at the hearing, a psychosomatic reaction cannot cause death in an otherwise healthy teenager. He asked for a show of hands from those who believed differently. Crickets. Even from the "expert" from New Zealand.

I discussed this idea of HPV-vaccine-induced illness as a psychosomatic phenomenon in more detail in my last article where I asked the question, <u>Is This The Beginning of The End of Gardasil?</u>, referring to current events in Europe. I should have emphasized the word "*This*" as it seems that there have been many occasions in the past where information has come to light and one might be forgiven for assuming that common sense would finally prevail and THIS would be the end. Incredibly cognitive dissonance continues to hold sway, and the status quo is maintained.

Something needs to be done about the Gardasil situation — and fast. We need corporate accountability, government inquiries, scientific funding and a framework for legal discourse. In the U.S., Merck has legal immunity, but other countries can pursue legal avenues. France has been so inundated with lawsuits in recent years, it pledged this week to launch a <u>public inquiry in 2016</u>. There, HPV vaccination uptake is only 17%. Ireland has one <u>case pending in the High Court</u> where one mother is asking for <u>the revocation of Gardasil's license</u>. Indeed, many other mothers in Ireland have formed a support group for Gardasil survivors called <u>R.E.G.R.E.T.</u>, which is making a lot of progress in getting answers for their daughters. In all, the <u>U.S., Denmark, South America, Spain, France, Australia, New Zealand, India, Ireland and the <u>U.K.</u> all have HPV vaccine crises which need to be addressed and not dismissed as contagious hysterical reactions fueled by social media.</u>

Gardasil seems to be this indestructible force, barreling its way towards our children at an unstoppable rate, causing death and destruction along the way. If you are caught in its path, it's just a "coincidence." There are many reasons why you could be struck down with an autoimmune illness out of nowhere, coincidentally after receiving a vaccine with unsafe foreign DNA fragments in it. I mean, what will it take? How many kids have to suffer before governments will finally listen to their people and investigate this vaccine and agree (perhaps collectively because, you know, safety in numbers) that a moratorium is needed pending a widespread investigation into the <u>injuries and deaths</u> reported thus far? It seems a reasonable request since we are talking about our children and the next generation of children. Blindly accepting vaccine injury as collateral damage for the greater good is a noble thing to do according to vaccine proponents – until you see a vaccine-injured child. Then you understand that it's abhorrent and even criminal.

No one seems to be accountable. Who will stand up to Goliath for the sake of our children? Right now it's the parents, the mothers. Their numbers are sadly growing daily. They cannot be silenced, and no one can stop their message from being heard – all over the world.

The most feared opponent is one that has nothing to lose. And Dr. Sin Hang Lee agrees. He has stared down Goliath for the last five years and so far won.